

# Benefits of a fund-of-funds strategy in private equity

- A private equity (PE) fund-of-funds (FOF) strategy<sup>1</sup> can help investors achieve broader diversification and superior risk-adjusted returns compared to other PE strategies, while providing access to top-tier PE funds and reducing capital call and operational complexity. Crucially, investors can increase the probability of achieving their PE investing goals by partnering with a skilled PE FOF manager and seeking lower fees.
- In an analysis of historical PE returns, we find that FOFs provide improved diversification and downside protection relative to buyout and venture strategies alone, particularly during previous economic cycle peaks.<sup>2</sup> We also find that a PE program that invests in at least 20 to 30 PE funds would be required to achieve a sufficient level of diversification while still retaining the excess return benefits of PE.<sup>3</sup>
- A simulation analysis shows that a lower-cost FOF diversified across stage<sup>4</sup> and strategy<sup>5</sup> exhibits higher upside and lower downside return potential relative to a hypothetical large buyout strategy alone.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> A fund-of-fund (FOF) is a managed pooled vehicle that raises capital from investors to invest in multiple other private funds.

<sup>2</sup> See Figure 2 for additional detail and important legal disclosures.

<sup>3</sup> See Figure 3 for additional detail and important legal disclosures.

<sup>4</sup> Stage diversification refers to investments across buyout, growth equity, and venture capital.

<sup>5</sup> FOFs can invest in other PE funds, either directly ("primaries"), in the secondary markets ("secondaries"), or by making investments alongside general partners ("co-investments").

<sup>6</sup> See Figure 4 for additional detail and important legal disclosures.

## The role of funds of funds in a diversified PE program

Unlike a fund that invests directly in companies, an FOF invests in other PE funds. With one FOF, investors can achieve diversification across PE markets, with a lower required minimum investment. The average FOF invests in approximately 20 funds, resulting in investments in approximately 400 companies.<sup>7</sup>


For many investors, FOFs are the only way to replicate a large, diversified PE program and is preferable to constructing a PE program oneself. We estimate that replicating the level of manager access and diversification of a top-tier FOF across dozens of funds would require a portfolio of more than \$1 billion.<sup>8</sup> A top-tier FOF can leverage its scale and industry relationships to provide access to capacity-constrained managers that would otherwise be inaccessible to smaller or less connected investors. Access constraints are most acute in venture, growth, and small and middle market buyout—market segments that can be critical to achieving the greatest PE investment returns.

The main value drivers of FOFs can be categorized into operational- and investment-focused. On the operational side, FOFs can reduce both the complexity and capital call requirements of a robust PE program. Program complexity can take the form of manager diligence, optimal portfolio construction, and secondary and co-investment capabilities. Each of these can be difficult for individual investors to replicate.

An important benefit of an FOF is the ability to aggregate hundreds of potential underlying portfolio capital calls into just a few. **Figure 1** shows that the cumulative portfolio calls for a hypothetical FOF diversified across stage and strategy can reach or exceed 500 during a three-year investment period. FOFs can aggregate capital calls on behalf of investors and utilize prudent borrowing strategies to reduce the number of investor capital calls to fewer than 10.

**FIGURE 1.**  
**FOFs can reduce the number of capital calls required of investors**

Hypothetical FOF investor and underlying capital call activity across a three-year investment period



**Note:** The chart above is illustrative and does not represent any actual investment or fund experience. The number of cumulative portfolio calls and actual capital calls experienced by an investor in a PE FOF will depend on the specific fund's management and underlying investments.

**Source:** Vanguard.

<sup>7</sup> Harris, Jenkinson, Kaplan, and Stucke (2017). Typical buyout and venture funds make approximately eight to 20 and 30 to 80 investments, respectively.

<sup>8</sup> See *The Case for Private Equity at Vanguard* (Vanguard, 2023).


## Diversification benefits of investing in multiple PE funds

Private equity has historically exhibited significant dispersion in fund performance.<sup>9</sup> This suggests that investors can achieve high returns if they have superior manager access and selection capabilities and are willing to bear additional downside risk. However, adding funds to a PE portfolio can help increase diversification, especially when access to the best managers is constrained to larger and well-connected PE firms. For example, in **Figure 2**, we find that FOFs have a narrower return dispersion and lower

downside risk relative to buyout and venture capital strategies on their own. Specifically, we highlight the diversification benefits for FOF vintages invested at the peak of two previous economic cycles prior to the dot-com bubble and global financial crisis.<sup>10</sup> The bottom 5th percentile of returns for FOFs in 2000 and 2006, as measured by net internal rate of return (IRR), were -2% and 1%, relative to -20% and -17% for venture and -3% and -10% for buyout, respectively.

**FIGURE 2.**  
**FOFs exhibit narrower return dispersion than venture or buyout strategies**

Net IRR



**Notes:** The figure is for illustrative purposes only and does not represent any particular investment. Net IRR is calculated as the discount rate that makes the net present value (NPV) of cash flows equal to zero. 2000 and 2006 represent the vintage years prior to the year in which a recession started, as defined by the National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER). The associated recessions lasted from March 2001 through November 2001 and from December 2007 through June 2009.

**Source:** Burgiss performance data for global funds of funds, buyout, and venture funds, as of September 30, 2023.

**Past performance is not a guarantee of future results.**

<sup>9</sup> See *The Case for Private Equity at Vanguard* (Vanguard, 2023). Return dispersion is the difference between high- and low-performing funds.


<sup>10</sup> Peak of the economic cycle is defined as the calendar year prior to the year with an NBER-defined economic recession in the U.S.

Academic research suggests that a portfolio of approximately 20 to 25 funds may be the optimal size for a primary program that is diversified across stage, vintage, and geography (Dompe 2019). In **Figure 3**, we show that adding additional funds to a hypothetical U.S. buyout portfolio continues to reduce return dispersion as the

number of funds increases from six to 30.<sup>11</sup> As the number of funds increases, the probability of a <1.5x performance multiple decreases from 26% to 9% and risk-adjusted performance, as measured by the Sortino ratio,<sup>12</sup> increases from 0.7 to 3.0. A similar pattern is observed in venture capital.

**FIGURE 3.**  
**Adding PE funds can improve diversification and risk-adjusted returns**

Probabilities of various performance multiples for a hypothetical buyout portfolio



**Notes:** Includes vintage years 2003 to 2019 with a three-year even allocation. Data are based on a Monte Carlo simulation as of April 2023 utilizing a HarbourVest proprietary data set comprised of information from HarbourVest and third-party data providers. Data are not representative of any HarbourVest fund, account, or experience. Results are net of underlying management fees and carried interest, and gross of HarbourVest management fees and carried interest. The performance multiples shown reflect the 10-year total value to paid-in (TVPI). TVPI, a widely used measure of PE performance, is the ratio between the total value of an investment's realized distributions and unrealized holdings and an investor's paid-in capital. The Sortino ratio is calculated using a minimum acceptable return (MAR) of 1.5x TVPI.

See important information at the end of this paper including disclosures related to historical Monte Carlo simulations and simulated fees and expenses.

**Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results. Diversification does not ensure a profit or protect against a loss.**

**Source:** HarbourVest and Vanguard.

**11** Decreasing return dispersion is also observed as the number of funds increases beyond 30, but the marginal benefit decreases (rate of increase slows). Practical considerations, such as the cost and complexity of managing a PE program of increasing size, limit the benefit of adding funds.

**12** The Sortino ratio is a measure of risk-adjusted return that penalizes downside volatility. It is commonly used in private equity in place of the Sharpe ratio because of the positive skew in return distribution historically exhibited in private equity. It is calculated as the excess investment return above a minimum acceptable return (MAR) divided by the standard deviation of investment returns that are below the MAR.

## Benefits of strategy diversification in a simulation analysis


While investors can choose to access funds directly, we believe that an FOF program that is diversified across stage and strategy can produce superior investment outcomes for clients. In the simulation shown in **Figure 4**, a hypothetical large buyout portfolio is composed of three North American large buyout funds. In general, we view large buyout funds as less access-constrained relative to other PE segments and a hypothetical U.S. investor tends to exhibit a home bias in fund selection. On the other hand, we display a hypothetical diversified portfolio that is composed of an FOF program managed across stage (70% buyout, 30% venture/growth) and strategy (50% primaries, 30% secondaries, and 20% co-investments) with at least 40 funds and 40 direct co-investments across a three-year investment period. The hypothetical diversified portfolio represents an FOF that is competitively

priced relative to the industry and allocates 50% of the portfolio to secondary and co-investment strategies that can enhance diversification and return potential.

The diversified program exhibits a significantly higher probability of a favorable 12-year performance multiple (2.0x and above) and a lower probability of a suboptimal 12-year performance multiple (less than 1.5x). In addition, the probability of achieving a return less than 1.3x with the diversified portfolio is near zero in the simulation. Notably, the simulation results are net of modeled fees (see **Figure 5**). We believe this analysis demonstrates the value of a PE program managed by an FOF across several vectors of diversification including stage, geography, and strategy, relative to a hypothetical annual three-fund large buyout selection strategy that an individual investor may seek to source directly.

**FIGURE 4.**  
**FOF diversification can reduce negative outcomes without sacrificing return**

Hypothetical long-term PE investment returns (net of fees)



**Notes:** TVPI is the ratio between the total value of an investment's realized distributions and unrealized holdings, compared to an investor's paid-in capital. Data are based on a Monte Carlo simulation as of February 2024 utilizing a HarbourVest proprietary data set comprised of information from HarbourVest and third-party data providers. Data are not representative of any HarbourVest fund, account, or experience.

See important information at the end of this paper including disclosures related to historical Monte Carlo simulations and simulated fees and expenses.

**Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results. Diversification does not ensure a profit or protect against a loss.**

**Source:** HarbourVest and Vanguard. See Appendix for detailed simulation parameters.

## Fees

Investing through an FOF adds an additional layer of management and performance fees that have historically averaged approximately 2%.<sup>13</sup> This includes management fees up to or exceeding 1% annually and carried interest of up to or exceeding 10% on top of a direct fund's costs.<sup>14</sup> The value provided by an FOF has the potential to exceed these costs through improved diversification and risk-adjusted returns, superior manager access and selection, and capital call and operational simplicity.

Vanguard's internal research shows that the average management fee for a geography, stage, and strategy diversified FOF is approximately 0.8% annually and the average additional carried interest on primaries is 5%. An investor can seek to minimize these fees where possible, including selecting FOFs that charge lower management fees and no additional carried interest on primary investments. Secondary and co-investment programs within an FOF can also add value in offsetting fees if the strategies provide better fund access, additional diversification, and lower underlying manager fees. While fees play an important role in net investment returns, in the PE industry, we believe partnering with a superior FOF provider that has scale, strong investment selection, and access to capacity-constrained strategies is equally critical in delivering superior risk-adjusted performance on a net-of-fees basis.

## Conclusion

Our review of academic literature, historical PE returns, and simulation analyses of hypothetical PE FOFs and direct portfolios suggests that FOFs can increase diversification, reduce downside risk, and improve risk-adjusted returns. Top-tier FOF managers can also enhance returns through access to capacity-constrained strategies, superior fund selection, and secondary and co-investment capabilities. Operationally, FOFs can reduce program management and capital call complexity, and—given their lower minimum investment requirement—provide access to a diversified PE portfolio that would otherwise be difficult for most investors to replicate on their own.

<sup>13</sup> McKinsey (2017). The additional layer of fees of an FOF relative to fund investment is approximated as 1.8% and 2.2% when calculating as a percentage of committed capital and as a percentage of net asset value (NAV), respectively.

<sup>14</sup> Gredil, Oleg and Liu, Yan and Sensoy, Berk A. (2024).

## Appendix

FIGURE 5.  
Simulation parameters

Measure	Definition
Historical Monte Carlo simulation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Historical Monte Carlo simulation with 10,000 iterations utilizing HarbourVest proprietary dataset</li><li>• No distributional or correlation assumptions</li></ul>
Large buyout portfolio	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>100% Buyout:</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– 100% North America</li><li>– 100% large</li><li>– 3 partnerships, 1-year investment period</li></ul></li><li>• Vintage years 2005–2020</li><li>• Net of underlying management fees and carried interest</li></ul>
Diversified portfolio	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>50% Primary:</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– 70% buyout: 60% North America, 30% Europe, 10% Asia</li><li>– 30% venture/growth: 60% North America, 15% Europe, 25% Asia</li><li>– 42 partnerships, 3-year investment period</li></ul></li><li>• <b>20% Coinvest:</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– Coinvest: 60% North America, 30% Europe, 10% Asia</li><li>– 100% buyout</li><li>– 42 companies, 3-year investment period</li></ul></li><li>• <b>30% Secondary:</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>– 3-year investment period</li></ul></li><li>• Vintage years 2004–2020</li><li>• Net of 29 basis points (bps) management fee on portfolio and net of 12.5% carried interest on realized returns above 8% on secondaries and co-investments</li></ul>

## References

Dinucci, Ted, Liz Foo, and Fran Kinniry, 2023. *The Case for Private Equity at Vanguard*. Valley Forge, Pa.: The Vanguard Group.

Dompe, Andrea Carnelli, 2019. *Diversification Study – Trend Towards More Concentrated Primary Portfolios*. CAIA Association paper.

Gredil, Oleg, Yan Lui, and Berk A. Sensoy, 2024. *Diversifying Private Equity*. SSRN paper.

Harris, Robert S., Tim Jenkinson, Steve Kaplan, and Rüdiger Stucke, 2017. *Financial Intermediation in Private Equity: How Well Do Funds of Funds Perform?* National Bureau of Economic Research Working paper no. 23428; Cambridge, Mass.: National Bureau of Economic Research.

McKinsey & Co., 2017. *Equity Investments in Unlisted Companies*. Report for the Norwegian Ministry of Finance.

## Important information about HarbourVest data

This material is solely for informational purposes and should not be viewed as a current or past recommendation or an offer to sell or the solicitation to buy securities or adopt any investment strategy. The opinions expressed herein represent the current, good faith views of the author(s) at the time of publication, are not definitive investment advice, and should not be relied upon as such. This material has been developed internally and/or obtained from sources believed to be reliable; however, HarbourVest does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of such information. There is no assurance that any events or projections will occur, and outcomes may be significantly different than the opinions shown here. This information, including any projections concerning financial market performance, is based on current market conditions, which will fluctuate and may be superseded by subsequent market events or for other reasons.

**Market analysis is not representative of any HarbourVest product.** This presentation contains quantitative analysis of the global private equity industry derived from HarbourVest's proprietary Quant Database. The proprietary Quant Database is a compilation of private equity partnership and transactional data drawn from internal and external sources. The proprietary Quant Database has been developed internally based on information obtained from sources believed to be reliable; however, HarbourVest does not guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of such information. This proprietary database is intended to be representative of the broader private equity market and does not reflect the investment performance of any HarbourVest investment or the experience of any investor in any HarbourVest fund.

**Market simulations are not representative of any investor's experience.** Simulated results based on the database will be impacted by an uneven representation of funds with different vintage years, sizes, managers, geographic investment focus, and strategies, and a limited pool of investment cash flow data. Capital call and distribution data are based on historic partnership investment cash flows, but do not represent the actual experience of any investor. The actual pace and timing of cash flows is likely to be different and will be highly dependent on the underlying partnerships' commitment pace, the types of investments made by the fund(s), market conditions, and terms of any relevant management agreements. Market conditions have a significant impact on investments and could materially change the results. All simulations, projections, and pro forma results are based entirely on the output from numerous mathematical simulations. These simulations are unconstrained by the fund size, market opportunity, and minimum commitment amount, and do not take into account the practical aspects of raising and managing a fund.



The simulated hypothetical results should be used solely as a reference to understand certain characteristics of private equity markets and should not be relied upon to manage investments or make investment decisions. Simulated market performance is not indicative of the future returns of any HarbourVest or third party fund or account, and there can be no assurance that future funds or accounts will achieve comparable results. Investments in private funds involve significant risks, including loss of the entire investment.

Performance is expressed in U.S. dollars, unless otherwise noted. Returns do not include the effect of any withholding taxes. Cash flows are converted to U.S. dollars at historic daily exchange rates, unless otherwise indicated. The return to investors whose local currency is not the U.S. dollar may increase or decrease as a result of currency fluctuations.

**Historical Monte Carlo Simulations:** These model (hypothetical) portfolios, if shown, are intended for illustrative purposes only. Performance information for each hypothetical portfolio utilized a Monte Carlo simulation and is based on the actual cash flows of a proprietary data set that includes partnership investments made by Funds, along with partnership data from external sources. The capital calls and distribution data is based on historic partnership investment cash flows, but does not represent the actual experience of any investor or fund.

The results of the simulation are impacted by an uneven representation of funds with different vintage years, sizes, managers, and strategies, and a limited pool of investment cash-flow data.

The actual pace and timing of cash flows is likely to be different and will be highly dependent on the underlying partnerships' commitment pace, the types of investments made by the fund(s), market conditions, and terms of any relevant management agreements. The results presented are hypothetical and based entirely on the output from numerous mathematical simulations. The simulations are unconstrained by the fund size, market opportunity, and minimum commitment amount, and do not take into account the practical aspects of raising and managing a fund. The simulated hypothetical portfolio results should be used solely as a guide and should not be relied upon to manage your investments or make investment decisions.

**Simulated Management Fee and Carry:** The simulated performance presented herein is hypothetical and does not reflect any actual fees or expenses experienced by a client or investor. Instead, the simulated performance utilizes model management fees and carry that are assumed for modeling purposes only and applied as described below. No actual client or investor attained the performance presented here. Management fees are calculated either based on committed or invested capital and applied to a portfolio's gross capital calls according to a specified fee rate and a fee term. Carry is accrued based on a specified carry rate and applied to a portfolio's total value after the applicable carry hurdle rate is met. Accrued carry is applied to gross NAV. Carry starts being distributed (paid out of distributions) once committed capital has been returned to investors.

## Legal notices

**All investing is subject to risk, including the possible loss of the money you invest. Be aware that fluctuations in the financial markets and other factors may cause declines in the value of your account. There is no guarantee that any particular asset allocation or mix of funds will meet your investment objectives or provide you with a given level of income. Diversification does not ensure a profit or protect against a loss.**

This communication is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an offer or solicitation to purchase any investment solutions or a recommendation to buy or sell a security, nor is it to be construed as legal, tax, or investment advice.

Private investments involve a high degree of risk and, therefore, should be undertaken only by prospective investors capable of evaluating and bearing the risks such an investment represents. Investors in private equity generally must meet certain minimum financial qualifications that may make it unsuitable for specific market participants.

Private equity is generally only accessible to ultra-high-net-worth investors, either through direct investment or partnership with a private equity firm, which invests in a private equity fund. Only accredited investors who meet specific qualifications outlined in federal securities laws qualify to invest in private equity funds. Certain private equity funds require investors to meet the definition of "qualified purchaser" in addition to being an accredited investor.

With private equity ("PE") investments, there are five primary risk considerations: market, asset liquidity, funding liquidity, valuation, and selection. Certain risks are believed to be compensated risks in the form of higher long-term expected returns, with the possible exceptions being valuation risk and selection risk. For selection risk, excess returns would be the potential compensation, however, limited partners ("LPs") must perform robust diligence to identify and gain access to managers with the skill to outperform. PE investments are speculative in nature and may lose value.

**Market risk:** Private equity, as a form of equity capital, shares similar economic exposures as public equities. As such, investments in each can be expected to earn the equity risk premium, or compensation for assuming the nondiversifiable portion of equity risk. However, unlike public equity, private equity's sensitivity to public markets is likely greatest during the late stages of the fund's life because the level of equity markets around the time of portfolio company exits can negatively affect PE realizations. Though PE managers have the flexibility to potentially time portfolio company exits to complete transactions in more favorable market environments, there's still the risk of capital loss from adverse financial conditions.

**Asset liquidity risk:** Various attributes can influence a security's liquidity; specifically, the ability to buy and sell a security in a timely manner and at a fair price. Transaction costs, complexity, and the number of willing buyers and sellers are only a few examples of the factors that can affect liquidity. In the case of private equity, while secondary markets for PE fund interests exist and have matured, liquidity remains extremely limited and highly correlated with business conditions. LPs hoping to dispose of their fund interests early—especially during periods of market stress—are likely to do so at a discount.

**Funding liquidity risk:** The uncertainty of PE fund cash flows and the contractual obligation LPs have to meet their respective capital commitments—regardless of the market environment—make funding risk (also known as commitment risk) a key risk LPs must manage appropriately. LPs must be diligent about maintaining ample liquidity in other areas of the portfolio, or external sources, to meet capital calls upon request from the General Partners ("GPs").

**Valuation risk:** Relative to public equity, where company share prices are published throughout the day and determined by market transactions, private equity NAVs are reported quarterly, or less frequently, and reflect GP and/or third-party valuation provider estimates of portfolio fair value. Though the private equity industry has improved its practices for estimating the current value of portfolio holdings, reported NAVs likely differ from what would be the current "market price," if holdings were transacted.

**Selection risk:** Whether making direct investments in private companies, PE funds, or outsourcing PE fund selection and portfolio construction to a third party, investors assume selection risk. This is because private equity doesn't have an investable index, or rather a passive implementation option for investors to select as a means to gain broad private equity exposure. While there are measures an investor can take to limit risk, such as broad diversification and robust manager diligence, this idiosyncratic risk can't be removed entirely or separated from other systematic drivers of return. Thus, in the absence of a passive alternative and significant performance dispersion, consistent access to top managers is essential for PE program success.

**Connect with Vanguard®**  
[vanguard.com](https://vanguard.com)

**Vanguard®**

© 2024 The Vanguard Group, Inc.  
All rights reserved.

PEFOF 052024